

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/823,455	Applicant(s) GARNEY, JOHN I.	
	Examiner Sumati Lefkowitz	Art Unit 2112	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Sumati Lefkowitz.

(3) _____.

 (2) Stephen T. Neal (#47,815).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-3, 21, 24-26, and 29-31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend the above noted claims to recite subject matter in keeping with Applicant's remarks of 8/9/04, on pages 13 and 14, regarding the start split and complete split messages to place the application into condition for allowance, as detailed in the accompanying examiner's amendment. .